



Journal of Evolutionary Biology Research

Volume 6 Number 1, February 2014

ISSN 2141-6583



*Academic
Journals*

ABOUT JEBR

The **Journal of Evolutionary Biology Research (JEBR)** (ISSN 2141-6583) is published Monthly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

Journal of Evolutionary Biology Research (JEBR) is a peer reviewed journal. The journal is published per article and covers all areas of the subject such as: Mating Systems and Strategies, Trends in Ecology and Evolution, Genetical Evolution of Social Behaviour, Genetic drift and Biased mutation.

Submission of Manuscript

Please read the **Instructions for Authors** before submitting your manuscript. The manuscript files should be given the last name of the first author

[Click here to Submit manuscripts online](#)

If you have any difficulty using the online submission system, kindly submit via this email ajb@academicjournals.org.

With questions or concerns, please contact the Editorial Office at jedr@academicjournals.org.

Editors

Prof. Ricardo de Souza Pereira

School of Pharmacy

Universidade Federal do Amapá - UNIFAP

Campus of Macapá - Macapá - State of Amapá

Brazil.

Prof. Viroj Wiwanitkit

Hainan Medical University

China.

Dr. Fábio Mendonça Diniz

Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária

(EMBRAPA) Mid-North

Terazina,

Brazil.

Dr. Abdel Gabbarel Tayeb Babiker

University of Sudan

College of Agricultural Studies

Plant Protection Department

Shambat,

Sudan.

Instructions for Author

Electronic submission of manuscripts is strongly encouraged, provided that the text, tables, and figures are included in a single Microsoft Word file (preferably in Arial font).

The **cover letter** should include the corresponding author's full address and telephone/fax numbers and should be in an e-mail message sent to the Editor, with the file, whose name should begin with the first author's surname, as an attachment.

Article Types

Three types of manuscripts may be submitted:

Regular articles: These should describe new and carefully confirmed findings, and experimental procedures should be given in sufficient detail for others to verify the work. The length of a full paper should be the minimum required to describe and interpret the work clearly.

Short Communications: A Short Communication is suitable for recording the results of complete small investigations or giving details of new models or hypotheses, innovative methods, techniques or apparatus. The style of main sections need not conform to that of full-length papers. Short communications are 2 to 4 printed pages (about 6 to 12 manuscript pages) in length.

Reviews: Submissions of reviews and perspectives covering topics of current interest are welcome and encouraged. Reviews should be concise and no longer than 4-6 printed pages (about 12 to 18 manuscript pages). Reviews are also peer-reviewed.

Review Process

All manuscripts are reviewed by an editor and members of the Editorial Board or qualified outside reviewers. Authors cannot nominate reviewers. Only reviewers randomly selected from our database with specialization in the subject area will be contacted to evaluate the manuscripts. The process will be blind review.

Decisions will be made as rapidly as possible, and the journal strives to return reviewers' comments to authors as fast as possible. The editorial board will re-review manuscripts that are accepted pending revision. It is the goal of the AJFS to publish manuscripts within weeks after submission.

Regular articles

All portions of the manuscript must be typed double-spaced and all pages numbered starting from the title page.

The Title should be a brief phrase describing the contents of the paper. The Title Page should include the authors' full names and affiliations, the name of the corresponding author along with phone, fax and E-mail information. Present addresses of authors should appear as a footnote.

The Abstract should be informative and completely self-explanatory, briefly present the topic, state the scope of the experiments, indicate significant data, and point out major findings and conclusions. The Abstract should be 100 to 200 words in length. Complete sentences, active verbs, and the third person should be used, and the abstract should be written in the past tense. Standard nomenclature should be used and abbreviations should be avoided. No literature should be cited.

Following the abstract, about 3 to 10 key words that will provide indexing references should be listed.

A list of non-standard **Abbreviations** should be added. In general, non-standard abbreviations should be used only when the full term is very long and used often. Each abbreviation should be spelled out and introduced in parentheses the first time it is used in the text. Only recommended SI units should be used. Authors should use the solidus presentation (mg/ml). Standard abbreviations (such as ATP and DNA) need not be defined.

The Introduction should provide a clear statement of the problem, the relevant literature on the subject, and the proposed approach or solution. It should be understandable to colleagues from a broad range of scientific disciplines.

Materials and methods should be complete enough to allow experiments to be reproduced. However, only truly new procedures should be described in detail; previously published procedures should be cited, and important modifications of published procedures should be mentioned briefly. Capitalize trade names and include the manufacturer's name and address. Subheadings should be used. Methods in general use need not be described in detail.

Results should be presented with clarity and precision. The results should be written in the past tense when describing findings in the authors' experiments. Previously published findings should be written in the present tense. Results should be explained, but largely without referring to the literature. Discussion, speculation and detailed interpretation of data should not be included in the Results but should be put into the Discussion section.

The Discussion should interpret the findings in view of the results obtained in this and in past studies on this topic. State the conclusions in a few sentences at the end of the paper. The Results and Discussion sections can include subheadings, and when appropriate, both sections can be combined.

The Acknowledgments of people, grants, funds, etc should be brief.

Tables should be kept to a minimum and be designed to be as simple as possible. Tables are to be typed double-spaced throughout, including headings and footnotes. Each table should be on a separate page, numbered consecutively in Arabic numerals and supplied with a heading and a legend. Tables should be self-explanatory without reference to the text. The details of the methods used in the experiments should preferably be described in the legend instead of in the text. The same data should not be presented in both table and graph form or repeated in the text.

Figure legends should be typed in numerical order on a separate sheet. Graphics should be prepared using applications capable of generating high resolution GIF, TIFF, JPEG or Powerpoint before pasting in the Microsoft Word manuscript file. Tables should be prepared in Microsoft Word. Use Arabic numerals to designate figures and upper case letters for their parts (Figure 1). Begin each legend with a title and include sufficient description so that the figure is understandable without reading the text of the manuscript. Information given in legends should not be repeated in the text.

References: In the text, a reference identified by means of an author's name should be followed by the date of the reference in parentheses. When there are more than two authors, only the first author's name should be mentioned, followed by 'et al'. In the event that an author cited has had two or more works published during the same year, the reference, both in the text and in the reference list, should be identified by a lower case letter like 'a' and 'b' after the date to distinguish the works.

Examples:

Abayomi (2000), Agindotan et al. (2003), (Kelebeni, 1983), (Usman and Smith, 1992), (Chege, 1998;

1987a,b; Tijani, 1993,1995), (Kumasi et al., 2001)
References should be listed at the end of the paper in alphabetical order. Articles in preparation or articles submitted for publication, unpublished observations, personal communications, etc. should not be included in the reference list but should only be mentioned in the article text (e.g., A. Kingori, University of Nairobi, Kenya, personal communication). Journal names are abbreviated according to Chemical Abstracts. Authors are fully responsible for the accuracy of the references.

Examples:

Chikere CB, Omoni VT and Chikere BO (2008). Distribution of potential nosocomial pathogens in a hospital environment. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 7: 3535-3539.

Moran GJ, Amii RN, Abrahamian FM, Talan DA (2005). Methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in community-acquired skin infections. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 11: 928-930.

Pitout JDD, Church DL, Gregson DB, Chow BL, McCracken M, Mulvey M, Laupland KB (2007). Molecular epidemiology of CTXM-producing *Escherichia coli* in the Calgary Health Region: emergence of CTX-M-15-producing isolates. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 51: 1281-1286.

Pelczar JR, Harley JP, Klein DA (1993). *Microbiology: Concepts and Applications*. McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, pp. 591-603.

Short Communications

Short Communications are limited to a maximum of two figures and one table. They should present a complete study that is more limited in scope than is found in full-length papers. The items of manuscript preparation listed above apply to Short Communications with the following differences: (1) Abstracts are limited to 100 words; (2) instead of a separate Materials and Methods section, experimental procedures may be incorporated into Figure Legends and Table footnotes; (3) Results and Discussion should be combined into a single section.

Proofs and Reprints: Electronic proofs will be sent (e-mail attachment) to the corresponding author as a PDF file. Page proofs are considered to be the final version of the manuscript. With the exception of typographical or minor clerical errors, no changes will be made in the manuscript at the proof stage.

Fees and Charges: Authors are required to pay a \$550 handling fee. Publication of an article in the Journal of Evolutionary Biology Research is not contingent upon the author's ability to pay the charges. Neither is acceptance to pay the handling fee a guarantee that the paper will be accepted for publication. Authors may still request (in advance) that the editorial office waive some of the handling fee under special circumstances

Copyright: © 2014, Academic Journals.

All rights Reserved. In accessing this journal, you agree that you will access the contents for your own personal use but not for any commercial use. Any use and or copies of this Journal in whole or in part must include the customary bibliographic citation, including author attribution, date and article title.

Submission of a manuscript implies: that the work described has not been published before (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture, or thesis) that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere; that if and when the manuscript is accepted for publication, the authors agree to automatic transfer of the copyright to the publisher.

Disclaimer of Warranties

In no event shall Academic Journals be liable for any special, incidental, indirect, or consequential damages of any kind arising out of or in connection with the use of the articles or other material derived from the JEBR, whether or not advised of the possibility of damage, and on any theory of liability.

This publication is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. Descriptions of, or references to, products or publications does not imply endorsement of that product or publication. While every effort is made by Academic Journals to see that no inaccurate or misleading data, opinion or statements appear in this publication, they wish to make it clear that the data and opinions appearing in the articles and advertisements herein are the responsibility of the contributor or advertiser concerned. Academic Journals makes no warranty of any kind, either express or implied, regarding the quality, accuracy, availability, or validity of the data or information in this publication or of any other publication to which it may be linked.

Journal of Evolutionary Biology Research

Table of Contents: Volume 6 Number 1, February 2014

ARTICLES

**Taxonomic Survey Of Crustacean Zooplankton In Wular Lake Of Kashmir
Himalaya**

Javaid Ahmad Shah and Ashok Kumar Pandit

Short Communication

Taxonomic survey of crustacean zooplankton in Wular Lake of Kashmir Himalaya

Javaid Ahmad Shah* and Ashok Kumar Pandit

Centre of Research for Development, University of Kashmir 190006, J&K, India.

Accepted 9 December, 2013

Taxonomic survey of the crustacean community was conducted at five study sites in Wular Lake. Plankton samples were collected on monthly basis from September 2010 to August 2011 and identified in the laboratory under different magnifications using the Olympus microscope. Of the 42 taxa identified, 23 were Cladocera, 16 were Copepoda and only three were Ostracoda. Among the Copepoda, one taxa namely *Cyclops latipes* seems to be a new addition which was previously unreported in Kashmir. Cladocera dominated Copepoda followed by Ostracoda in the community throughout the study. However, the species more frequently found in the samples were *Chydorus sphaericus*, *Alona affinis*, *Bryocamptus minutus* and *Cyclops bicolor*. As per the species composition of crustaceans, Lake Wular is still enjoying the infancy stage of eutrophication.

Key words: Taxonomy, Cladocera, Copepoda, distribution, trophic status, Kashmir.

INTRODUCTION

The zooplankton is a major component of freshwater ecosystems (Gannon and Stemberger, 1978; Sladeczek, 1983; Huys and Boxshall, 1991; Devi and Ramanibai, 2012) and as such assumes significant importance. The crustacean zooplankton plays a pivotal role in aquatic food chains, which is an important food item of fishes (Patalas, 1972). They are able to consume great quantities of phytoplankton from the open water zone, thereby influencing the primary production (Tonno et al., 2003). In addition, these planktonic organisms act as bioindicators of the aquatic systems (Gannon and Stemberger, 1978; Bays and Crisman, 1983; Stemberg and Lazorchack, 1994; Attayde and Bozelli, 1998; Pinto-Coelho et al., 2005; Burns and Galbraith, 2007; Davies et al., 2008; Shah and Pandit, 2013). Besides, they also help in improving water quality by grazing on phytoplankton and bacteria (Kumar et al., 2009).

Though the taxonomical composition of the crustacean communities of the lakes of Kashmir has been assessed several times in the last four decades, a thorough taxonomic categorization of the crustacean of the Wular Lake, a rural lake, designated a Ramsar Site of International

Importance in Kashmir Himalaya, is yet to be achieved. Therefore, the objective of this work was to have a taxonomic assessment of the crustacean assemblages of Lake Wular.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

Geographically the lake is situated at an altitude of 1,580 m (a.m.s.l) between 34°16'-34°20'N latitudes and 74°33'-74°44'E longitudes. Wular Lake, an ox-bow type lake, is of fluvial origin located in the North-West of Kashmir about 55 km from Srinagar City, formed by the meandering of River Jhelum, which is the main feeding channel besides other tributaries (Figure 1). The rural valley lake is drained in north-east by the only single outlet in the form of River Jhelum and plays a significant role in the hydrographical system of the Kashmir valley the by acting as a huge absorption basin for the annual flood waters.

The lake accounting for 60% of the fish production within the state of J&K and was included in 1986 as a wetland of national importance under the wetlands programme of the Ministry of environment and forests, Government of India. Subsequently in 1990 it was designated as a Wetland of International importance

*Corresponding author. E-mail: javidshah31@gmail.com.




Figure 1. Five sampling sites in Wular Lake.

under the Ramsar Convention for management purposes. Catchment of the lake is comprised of sloping hills of the Zanaskar ranges of the western Himalaya on the north eastern side and arable land around is used for agriculture purposes.

Sample collection and analysis

Crustacean samples for taxonomic analysis were collected on monthly basis at five study sites (Table 1). Hundred liters of subsurface lake water was filtered through Birge conical crustacean net having a mesh size of 75 μm . Preservation of the samples was carried out in 4% formalin to which four to five drops of glycerine and 5% sucrose were added. Various micro needles were used for dissecting the taxonomic parts (such as caudal ramous, 4th, 5th leg for copepods and the setae arrangement in the post abdominal claw for cladocerans). Identification of the crustaceans was done with the help of standard works of Pennak (1978), Battish (1992) and Edmondson (1992).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

During the entire study, a total of 42 taxa were reported, of which 22 species belonged to the order Cladocera represented by six families (Chydoridae, Daphniidae, Sididae, Bosminidae, Moinidae and Macrothricidae), 17 belonged to subclass Copepoda represented by three orders (Cyclopoida, Calanoida and Harpacticoida) and only three representative taxa comprised of order the Podocopida of class Ostracoda (Table 2). Among the Cladoceran, Chydoridae was the dominant family repre-

sented by four genera and nine species. Copepoda was dominated by the family Cyclopidae and was represented by six genera and thirteen species. Increase in number of *Cyclopoida* species over *Calanoida* effectively favours eutrophication (Patalas, 1972; Gannon and Stemberger, 1978; Straile and Geller, 1998; Anneville et al., 2007). However, Sendacz and Kubo (1984) associated the absence of Calanoida copepods to eutrophic conditions in reservoirs of São Paulo State. Ostracoda represented by family Cyprididae was composed of two genera (*Eucypris* and *Cypris*).

The most dominant species reported from the entire lake were *Chydorus sphaericus*, *Alona affinis*, *Macrothrix rosea* among Cladocera, while species like *Bryocamptus minutus*, *Cyclops bicolor*, *Bryocamptus nivalis* and *Diaptomus virginiensis* were dominant representatives of Copepoda. Majority of the species exhibited wide occurrence throughout the lake while others showed a limited temporal and spatial distribution. Nevertheless, the number of crustacean species varied little between various selected biotopes.

Rich diversity and abundance of crustaceans in the lake is due to shallow nature of the lake associated with macrophytes that play an important role in the structure and dynamics of the microcrustacean assemblages (Scheffer et al., 2006), as macrophytes provides shelter and protection to these microscopic organisms against predators such as fish and macroinvertebrates (Scheffer, 1998), reduce predation and disturbances in the system (Cardinale et al., 1998), and favor an increase in the number of species due to high niche availability (Fahd et al., 2000; Cottenie et al., 2001; Cottenie and De Meester, 2003).

A characteristic seasonal pattern of species was observed that depends on the local conditions, environmental characteristics and quality of temporary aquatic systems in and around the aquatic systems throughout the study period (Williams, 1999; Fahd et al., 2000; Tavernini et al., 2008). Among Cladocerans genus *Bosmina* was eurythermal (having wide tolerance range to temperature) and as such showed different seasonal pattern in terms of population density. One of its species, *Bosmina longirostris* was abundant in winter, while the other species, *Bosmina coregoni*, was seen in summer. This replacement process may also be attributed to high-food availability dominated by large food particles as it is a summer plankton of eutrophic lakes (Gulati et al., 1991). Dominance of Chydoridae among cladocerans represent eutrophic condition of the lake (Gannon and Stemberger, 1978; Magadza, 1980). Further, dominance of small sized cladocerans over large bodied Cladocera is a clear sign of accelerated eutrophication (Pandit, 1980; Bays and Crisman, 1983; Sampaio et al., 2002). During the entire study, the low population density of large sized cladocerans like *Daphnia* sp., *Moinodaphnia* sp. and *Diaphanosoma* sp. were registered and may be ascribed to a shift mechanism for large bodied to smaller bodied organisms as a result of racing eutrophication (Pandit, 1980; Kaul and Pandit, 1982; Pandit, 1988;

Table 1. Location of five study sites in Wular Lake.

Site	Latitude (N)	Longitude (E)	Distinguishing feature
I	34°21' 51	74°39' 42	Anthropogenic pressures
II	34°24' 15	74°32' 35	Good macrophytic growth
III	34°21' 29	74°31' 48	Profuse growth of macrophytes
IV	34°17' 43	74°31' 30	Centre of lake basin
V	34°17' 16	74°30' 25	Near outlet of the lake

Table 2. Classification of Crustacean.

Class/ Subclass	Order	Family	Genus	Species		
Branchiopoda	Cladocera	Chydoridae	Alona	<i>affinis, costata, guttata, rectangula</i>		
			Alonella	<i>dentifera, exigua</i>		
			Camptocercus	<i>rectirostris</i>		
			Chydorus	<i>sphaericus, ovalis</i>		
		Daphnidae	Daphnia	<i>laevis, magna, pulex, retrocurva</i>		
			Ceriodaphnia	<i>Quadrangular</i>		
			Moinodaphnia	sp. <i>brachyurum</i>		
		Sididae	Diaphanosoma			
			Sida	<i>crystallina</i> <i>coregoni,</i> <i>longirostris</i>		
		Bosminidae	Bosmina			
Moinidae	Moina		<i>affinis, brachiata</i>			
Macrothricidae	Macrothrix		<i>rosea</i>			
	Maxillopoda/ Copepoda	Cyclopoida	Cyclopidae	Cyclops	<i>scutifer, vernalis, panamensis, bicolor, latipes, bisetosus, bicuspidatus, vicinus</i>	
Eucyclops				<i>agilis</i>		
Megacyclops				<i>viridis</i>		
Macrocyclops				<i>fuscus</i>		
Paracyclops				<i>affinis</i>		
Acanthocyclops				<i>bicuspidatus</i>		
Calanoida			Canthocamptidae	Bryocamptus	<i>minutus, nivalis</i>	
			Harpacticoida	Diaptomidae	Diaptomus	<i>virginiensis,</i> sp.
Ostracoda			Podocopida	Cyprididae	Eucypris	<i>hystrix</i>
					Cypris	sp.
	Cyclocypris	sp.				

Kingdom, Animalia; Phylum, Arthropoda; Subphylum, Crustacea.

Sampaio et al., 2002).

Copepoda, in the present study showed long growth patterns, it may be due to high food availability in the form of phytoplankton (Santer and Lampert, 1995), besides temperature (Marcus, 1982) that modulates egg development (Dole-Olivier et al., 2000). As per literature available (Zago, 1976; Crisman, 1978; Frey, 1980; Pandit, 1980., Crisman et al., 1992; Haberman, 1998; Rossa et al., 2001) among the 42 species listed during the present study 14 species (*Alona guttata, Bosmina*

coregoni, Bosmina longirostris, Chydorus ovalis, Chydorus sphaericus, Ceriodaphnia, Moina, Daphnia, Diaphanosoma, Macrothrix rosea, Cyclops vernalis, Eucyclops agilis and Cyclops bicuspidatus) prefer eutrophic lake water conditions, while only five species (*Sida crystallina, Cyclops scutifer, Eucyclops* sp., *Megacyclops* sp. and *Diaptomus* sp.) prefer oligotrophic conditions. The remaining species can thus be categorized as eurytrophic exhibiting wide ecological amplitude and tolerating a wide range of salt concentration.

Therefore, the dominance of eutrophic (14) and eurytrophic (23) over oligotrophic (5) species is an indication that the lake is still enjoying the infancy stage of eutrophication ($E/O=7.4$) as per Jarnefelts Plankton Quotient System (Jarnefelt, 1956, c.f. Pandit, 2002).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks are due to the Director, Centre of Research for Development and Head, Environmental Science, University of Kashmir for providing necessary laboratory facilities.

REFERENCES

- Anneville O, Molinero JC, Souissi S, Balvay, G, Gerdeaux D (2007). Long-term changes in the copepod community of Lake Geneva. *J. Plankton Res.* 29:49-59.
- Attayde JL, Bozelli RL (1998). Assessing the indicator properties of zooplankton assemblages to disturbance gradients by canonical correspondence analysis. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 55: 1789-1797.
- Battish SK (1992). *Freshwater Zooplankton of India*. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co., New Delhi.
- Bays JS, Crisman TL (1983). Zooplankton and trophic state relationships in Florida lakes. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 40:1813-1819.
- Burns CW, Galbraith LM (2007). Relating planktonic microbial food web structure in lentic freshwater ecosystems to water quality and land use. *J. Plankton Res.* 29: 127-139.
- Cardinale BJ, Brady VJ, Burton TM (1998). Changes in the abundance and diversity of coastal wetland fauna from the open water/macrophytes edge towards shore Wetlands Ecology and Management. 6:59-68.
- Cottenie K, De Meester L (2003). Connectivity and cladoceran species richness in a metacommunity of shallow lakes. *Freshw. Biol.* 48:823-832.
- Cottenie K, Nuytten N, Michels E, De Meester L (2001). Zooplankton community structure and environmental conditions in a set of interconnected ponds. *Hydrobiologia* 442:339-350.
- Crisman TL (1978). Interpretations of past environments from lacustrine animal remains. p. 69-101. In: Walter D (ed.), *Biology and Quaternary Environments*. Australian Academy of Sciences, Canberra.
- Crisman TL, Beaver JR, Jones JK, Keller AE, Neugaard AG, Nilakantan V (1992). Historical Assessment of Cultural Eutrophication in Lake Weir, Florida. Department of Environmental Engineering Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville and St. John's River Water Management District, Palatka, Florida. 442 pp.
- Davies OA, Tawari CC, Abowei JFN (2008). Zooplankton of Elechi Creek, Niger Delta Nigeria. *Environ. Ecol.*, 26(4c): 2441-2346.
- Devi B, Ramanibai R (2012). Distribution and abundance of zooplankton in Muttukadu backwater, Chennai. *J. Res. Biol.* 1:035-041.
- Dole-Olivier MJ, Glassi DMP, Marmonier P, Chatelliers CD (2000). The biology and ecology of lotic microcrustaceans. *Freshw. Biol.* 44:93-91.
- Edmondson WT (1992) *Ward and Whiple Freshwater Biology*. 2nd ed. Intern. Books and Periodicals Supply Service, New Delhi.
- Fahd K, Serano L, Toja J (2000). Crustacean and rotifer composition of temporary ponds in the Doñana National Park (SW Spain) during floods. *Hydrobiologia* 436:41-49.
- Frey DG (1980). On the plurality of *Chydorus sphaericus* (O. F. Müller) (Cladocera, Chydoridae) and designation of a neo type from Sjaelsø, Denmark. *Hydrobiologia* 69:83-123.
- Gannon JE, Stemberg RS (1978). Zooplankton (especially crustaceans and rotifers) as indicator of water quality. *Trans. Am. Microsc. Soc.* 97:16-35.
- Gulati RD, Vuik C, Siewertsen K, Postema G (1991). Clearance rates of *Bosmina* species in response to changes in trophy and food concentration. *Verh. Int. Ver. Limnol.* 24:745-750.
- Haberman J (1998). Zooplankton of Lake Vortsjarv. *Limnologica* 28(1):49-65.
- Huys R, Boxshall GA (1991). *Copepoda Evolution*. Guildford: Unwin Brothers Ltd. 486 p.
- Jarnefelt H (1952). Plankton als Indikator der Trophiegruppen der Seen. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicae, A*, 4:1-29.
- Kaul V, Pandit AK (1982). Biotic factor and food chain structure in some typical wetlands of Kashmir. *Pollut. Res.* 1:49-54.
- Kumar S, Singh A, Dajus D, Biswas SP (2009). Physico-chemical parameters and fish enumeration of Majjan beel (wetland) of upper Assam. *Geobios.* 36:184-188.
- Magadza CHD (1980). The distribution of zooplankton in the Sanyati Bay, Lake Kariba; A multivariable analysis. *Hydrobiologia*, 70: 57-67.
- Marcus HN (1982). Photoperiodic and temperature regulation of diapause in *Labidocera aestiva* (Copepoda: Calanoida). *Biol. Bull.* 162(1):45-52.
- Pandit AK (1980). Biotic factor and food chain structure in some typical wetlands of Kashmir. Ph.D. thesis, University of Kashmir, Srinagar-190006, J and K, India.
- Pandit AK (1988). Threats to Kashmir wetlands and their wildlife resources. *Environ. Conserv. (Switzerland)* 15(3):266-268.
- Pandit AK (2002). Plankton as indicators of trophic status of wetlands. p. 341-360. In: *Ecology and Ethology of Aquatic Biota* (Arvind Kumar, ed.). Daya Publishing House, New Delhi-110002.
- Patalas K (1972). Crustacean plankton and the eutrophication of St. Lawrence Great Lakes. *J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can.* 29:1451-1462.
- Pennak RW (1978). *Freshwater Invertebrates of United States of America*. Wiley Interscience Pub., N. Y.
- Pinto-Coelho R, Pinel-Alloul B, Méthot G, Havens KE (2005). Crustacean zooplankton in lakes and reservoirs of temperate and tropical regions: variation with trophic status. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 62:348-361.
- Rossa DC, Lansac-Tôha FA, Bonecker CC, Velho LFM (2001). Abundance of cladocerans in the littoral regions of two environments of the upper Paraná River floodplain, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil. *Rev. Braz. Biol.* 61:45-53.
- Sampaio EV, Rocha O, Matsumura-Tundisi T, Tundisi JG (2002). Composition and abundance of zooplankton in the limnetic zone of seven reservoirs of the Paranapanema River, Brazil. *Braz. J. Biol.* 62:525-545.
- Santer B, Lampert W (1995). Summer diapause in cyclopoid copepods: Adaptive response to a food bottleneck? *J. Ani. Ecol.*, 64:600-613.
- Scheffer M (1998). *Ecology of Shallow Lakes*. London, Chapman e Hall. 358p.
- Scheffer M, Van Geest GJ, Zimmer K, Jeppesen E, Sondergaard M, Butler MG, Hanson MA, Declerck S, De Meester L (2006). Small habitat size isolation can promote species richness: Second-order effects on biodiversity in shallow lakes and ponds. *Oikos* 112:227-231.
- Sendacz S (1984). A study of the zooplankton community of Billings reservoir, São Paulo, Brazil. *Hydrobiologia* 113:121-127.
- Shah JA, Pandit AK (2013). Relation between physico-chemical limnology and crustacean community in Wular lake of Kashmir Himalaya, Pak. *J. Biol. Sci.* 16(19): 976-983.
- Stemberg RS, Lazorchak JM (1994). Zooplankton assemblages responses to disturbance gradients. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 51:2435-2447.
- Straille D, Geller W (1998). Crustacean zooplankton in Lake Constance from 1920 to 1995: Response to eutrophication and re-oligotrophication. *Archiv für Hydrobiologie (special issue advances in limnology)* 53:255-274.
- Tavernini S (2008). Seasonal and inter-annual zooplankton dynamics in temporary pools with different hydroperiods. *Limnologica* 38:63-75.
- Tonno H, Kunnapp H, Nöges T (2003). The role of zooplankton grazing in the formation of clear water phase in a shallow charophyte dominated lake. *Hydrobiologia* 06:353-358.
- Williams WD (1999). Conservation of wetlands in drylands: A key global issue. *Aquat. Conserv.* 9:517-522.
- Zago MS (1976). The planktonic Cladocera (Crustacea) and aspects of eutrophication of Americana reservoir, Brazil. *Biol. Zool. USP* 1:105-145.



Journal of Evolutionary Biology Research

Related Journals Published by Academic Journals

- *International Journal Of Genetics And Molecular Biology*
- *Journal Of Cell And Animal Biology*
- *Journal Of Developmental Biology And Tissue Engineering*
- *Journal Of Biophysics And Structural Biology*
- *International Journal Of Biodiversity And Conservation*
- *Journal Of Ecology And The Natural Environment*

academicJournals